

THE A_2 QUIVER OVER A FINITE FIELD AND WYTHOFF MOVES

CODEx

ABSTRACT. We classify representations of the quiver $1 \rightarrow 2$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ as linear maps. The multiplicities of its three indecomposable representations define a map to dimension vectors. The existential quotient of single-coordinate deletion along this map is exactly the three-direction move relation of Wythoff Nim. We also express the same relation as the dimension-vector shadow of the Boolean Hall product. This is a representation-theoretic description of legal moves; it gives neither a formula nor a faster algorithm for Grundy values.

1. TWO DIRECTED GRAPHS

We write $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Definition 1.1 (Wythoff graph). The vertices of the Wythoff graph are the elements of $\mathbb{N}^2$. There is an edge from (a, b) to (a', b') if, for some integer $r \geq 1$,

$$(a, b) - (a', b') \in \{r(1, 0), r(0, 1), r(1, 1)\}.$$

This is the legal-move relation in Wythoff's original paper [1], written as a directed graph.

Let Q be the quiver $1 \rightarrow 2$, and let $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Q)$ be its category of finite-dimensional representations. An object is a linear map of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector spaces

$$M = (V_1 \xrightarrow{f} V_2).$$

Its dimension vector is $\mathbf{dim} M = (\dim V_1, \dim V_2)$. We use the three representations

$$S_1 = (\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow 0), \quad S_2 = (0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q), \quad E = (\mathbb{F}_q \xrightarrow{1} \mathbb{F}_q).$$

General introductions to quiver representations include Schiffler [2] and Kirillov [3]. The following A_2 classification is their smallest example; we include the proof for completeness.

Proposition 1.2 (Classification of A_2 representations). *For every representation $M = (V_1 \xrightarrow{f} V_2)$,*

$$M \cong S_1^{\oplus u} \oplus E^{\oplus k} \oplus S_2^{\oplus v}, \quad (u, k, v) = (\dim \ker f, \text{rank } f, \dim \text{coker } f).$$

In particular, the three multiplicities are unique.

Proof. Choose a decomposition $V_1 = \ker f \oplus U$. The restriction $f|_U: U \rightarrow \text{im } f$ is an isomorphism. Choose also $V_2 = \text{im } f \oplus C$. Then f is the direct sum of the zero map $\ker f \rightarrow 0$, the isomorphism $U \rightarrow \text{im } f$, and the zero map $0 \rightarrow C$. Choosing bases gives the displayed decomposition. Uniqueness follows because $\dim \ker f$, $\text{rank } f$, and $\dim \text{coker } f$ are isomorphism invariants. $\square$

2. THE EXISTENTIAL QUOTIENT OF THE MULTIPLICITY CONE

Following Proposition 1.2, let the multiplicity cone be $C = \mathbb{N}^3$ and define

$$\pi: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^2, \quad \pi(u, k, v) = (u + k, k + v).$$

This is the map that sends a representation to its dimension vector.

Definition 2.1 (Ray-deletion graph). There is an edge from x to y in C if $x - y$ is one of $r(1, 0, 0)$, $r(0, 1, 0)$, or $r(0, 0, 1)$, where $r \geq 1$. In the *existential quotient* along π , there is an edge from d to d' if there exist $x, y \in C$ such that $\pi(x) = d$, $\pi(y) = d'$, and $x \rightarrow y$.

Theorem 2.2 (Recovery of the Wythoff graph). *The existential quotient of the ray-deletion graph along π is the Wythoff graph.*

Date: August 26, 2026, v0.1.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16G20, 91A46.

Key words and phrases. A_2 quiver, Hall algebra, Wythoff Nim, dimension vector.

Proof. Deleting r copies from the first, second, or third coordinate of C decreases the dimension vector by $r(1, 0)$, $r(1, 1)$, or $r(0, 1)$, respectively. Thus every quotient edge is a Wythoff move.

Conversely, a move decreasing only the first coordinate of (a, b) by r is lifted by $(a, 0, b) \rightarrow (a - r, 0, b)$; the second-coordinate case is analogous. A move decreasing both coordinates by r is lifted by

$$(a - r, r, b - r) \longrightarrow (a - r, 0, b - r).$$

The displayed triples are nonnegative whenever the corresponding Wythoff move is legal. $\square$

3. THE BOOLEAN HALL SHADOW

For the relation between Hall algebras and quiver representations, see Ringel [4]; for an introduction from the definitions, see Schiffmann [5]. Because the ground field is finite, the following numbers are finite. For representations U, V, X , define the Hall number

$$g_{U,V}^X = \#\{W \subseteq X \mid W \cong V, X/W \cong U\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$ be the Boolean semiring. On the free $\mathbb{B}$ -semimodule with basis the isomorphism classes of representations, define

$$[U] \star [V] = \bigvee_{g_{U,V}^X > 0} [X].$$

For finite sums, this is the ordinary Hall product with every coefficient replaced by its zero/nonzero value. For a support A , define its dimension-vector shadow by

$$D(A) = \{\mathbf{dim} X \mid [X] \text{ occurs in } A\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any representations U, V ,*

$$D([U] \star [V]) = \{\mathbf{dim} U + \mathbf{dim} V\}.$$

Proof. If $g_{U,V}^X > 0$, there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow X \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$, hence $\mathbf{dim} X = \mathbf{dim} U + \mathbf{dim} V$. Conversely, the split sequence $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow U \oplus V \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$ exists, so the support of the product is nonempty. $\square$

Theorem 3.2 (Hall shadow of Wythoff moves). *For $d, d' \in \mathbb{N}^2$, there is a Wythoff move from d to d' if and only if there exist $U \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Q)$, $r \geq 1$, and $T \in \{S_1, S_2, E\}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{dim} U = d', \quad d \in D([U] \star [T^{\oplus r}]).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, the right-hand side is equivalent to $d - d' = r \mathbf{dim} T$. The dimension vectors of the three choices of T are $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, and $(1, 1)$. This is exactly Definition 1.1. A representation U of any prescribed dimension vector d' exists, for example as a zero map between vector spaces of the required dimensions. $\square$

Remark 3.3 (Limitation). In Theorem 3.2, the dimension-vector shadow forgets extension classes, the values of the Hall numbers, and the field size q . Consequently, this correspondence alone gives no formula for Grundy values, no control of the mex recursion, and no faster algorithm. What has been proved here is exactly a representation of the legal-move relation.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. A. Wythoff, A modification of the game of Nim, *Nieuw Arch. Wiskd.* (2) **7** (1907), 199–202.
- [2] R. Schiffler, *Quiver Representations*, CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer, 2014. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-09204-1.
- [3] A. Kirillov, Jr., *Quiver Representations and Quiver Varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 174, American Mathematical Society, 2016. AMS GSM 174.
- [4] C. M. Ringel, Hall algebras and quantum groups, *Invent. Math.* **101** (1990), 583–592. doi:10.1007/BF01231516.
- [5] O. Schiffmann, Lectures on Hall algebras, [arXiv:math/0611617](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0611617), 2006.